

I hereby certify that this paper and every paper referred to therein as being enclosed is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via the USPTO EFS electronic filing system, as of today.


Richard T. Lyon

Date: 5-1-08

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 2173

Examiner: C. H. Nguyen

Entitled: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTROLLING A SHARED DISPLAY GIVEN INPUTS FROM MULTIPLE USERS USING MULTIPLE INPUT MODALITIES

Serial No.: 10/758,574

Filing Date: January 15, 2004

**RECORDATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF AN APPLICANT INITIATED
INTERVIEW UNDER 37 CFR 1.333**

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

On or about February 20, 2008, the undersigned discovered on PAIRS that an Office Action dated January 20, 2007 had been issued for the subject application, but not received by the applicants. The reason a search was made in PAIRS involved an unrelated application in which it came to light that an Office

Action had issued but was not received in the Offices of the undersigned (i.e., the designated correspondent in both applications). In this unrelated case it was found that around December 20, 2007, an Office Action was mailed and returned undelivered to the USPTO. It appeared from a photocopy of the mailing in PAIRS that the Action had been mailed without the address showing in the address window of the mailing envelope. As a precaution, PAIRS was searched for other communications that might have suffered a similar fate. The subject application was discovered in this search. It too had been mailed and returned to the USPTO undelivered, and appeared to have been mailed without the address showing. The undersigned contacted the Examiner assigned to the subject application (i.e., C. H. Nguyen) when the undelivered Office Action was discovered. This occurred around February 20, 2008. The Examiner agreed to reissue the Office Action during the interview. However, as of today, the Examiner has not been able to affect the reissue. The Examiner stated in a recent interview that in order for him to reissue the Office Action, an interview summary describing the events that precipitated the request needed to be filed.

Given the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the Office Action dated December 20, 2007 that was issued for the subject application be reissued as soon as possible.

LYON & HARR, LLP
300 Esplanade Drive
Suite 800
Oxnard, CA 93036
(805) 278-8855

Respectfully submitted,

Richard T. Lyon
Reg. No. 37,385
Attorney for Applicant(s)